

Contract with Lower Columbia Fish Recovery Board

Wind and Little White Salmon Subbasin Plan Revisions
September 22 through November 22, 2004 Budget Detail (See Task 1 and Task 2 descriptions, below)
Salaries and Benefits

Position Title	Rate	Estimated		Total
		Hours		
Director	43.83	160		7,013
Manager	21.82	160		3,491
Recovery Planning Coordinator	34.21	120		4,105
Habitat Coordinator	21.82	30		655
Secretary Lead	14.52	20		290
Clerical Assistant	14.52	20		290
Wildlife Manager (WDFW)	50.21	40.00		2,008
Senior Fisheries Consultant (SCA)	111.09	16.00		1,777
	STotal			19,630
	Benefits @ 25% of STotal			4,908
	Salries and Benefits STotal			24,538

Supplies and Services	Unit		Total
	(estimated per month)	Quantity 2 Months	
Supplies-Office ¹	1,286.86	2.00	2,574
Communications	424.94	2.00	850
Postage	153.98	2.00	308
Tuition & Registration	-	2.00	-
Public Notices & Info	72.00	2.00	144
Operating Rentals & Leases ²	809.61	2.00	1,619
Insurance	255.38	2.00	511
Photocopying	220.00	2.00	440
Printing	52.00	2.00	104
Computer Services	122.60	2.00	245
Auditor ³	52.14	2.00	104
	Supplies and Services STotal		6,899

Travel and Lodging ⁴	From/To	Rate	# of Trips or Nights	Total
Airfare		-	-	-
Parking, Meals, Lodging		130.00	5.00	650
Mileage		0.375	1,100.00	413
	Travel and Lodging STotal			1,063
	Total			32,500

Footnotes:

¹ 40% Contribution to office supplies and additional equipment, furniture and compu

² 40% Contribution to office rent (\$1630/mo) and copier lease (\$395/mo)

³ 40% Contribution to county auditor services for monthly warrant processing and annual state desktop audit

⁴ Travel includes public workshops, committee meetings, ExCom and other coordination meetings with consultants

Note: Recovery/Subbasin planning constitutes 40% of the Board's workload

Task 1 Reorganize the Wind and the Little White Salmon information presented within in LCFRB Plan as "stand-alone" plans through a modification supplemental version so that they do not require extensive reference to the Volume I regional plan or other materials. The reorganized plans should depict:

Subtask a. how the subbasin assessments effort was organized, and around what analytical tools and data/information sets;

Subtask b. why the aquatic focal species were chosen;

Subtask c. what the key findings/limiting factors constraining biological potential of focal species are for each subbasin;

Subtask d. how objectives and strategies proposed for the subbasin link to those key subbasin findings/limiting factors,

Subtask e. if a broader ecological context informed the choices made for developing objectives and strategies for the particular individual subbasin, an explanation of why and how; and

Subtask f. finally, how and what monitoring and evaluation activities will be relied upon to adaptively manage in the subbasin.

Task 2 Incorporate Terrestrial/Wildlife and resident fish elements into the reorganized Wind and Little White Salmon subbasin plans to include:

Regional assessment-type information from IBIS;

As appropriate, the State of Washington assessment information for southeast Washington and Columbia Cascade subbasins ("Ashley/Stoval" reports)

Assessment and management information from the "Subbasin Summaries" developed in 1999;

Identification of the highly managed species, and references to relevant existing local/state/federal management plans or programs for those species (again, consider the "Subbasin Summaries" developed previously).

A description of proposals would be developed and prioritized taking into account the assessment information identified above (or other assessment information that is secured) and the existing management plans if there is the expectation that funding for wildlife or resident fish protection, mitigation or enhancement activities will be proposed for these subbasins within the next few years.

Draft

Draft

Draft

Statement of Work
Wind and Little White Subbasins
Contract Number:

Objective

Make improvements to the Wind and Little White Subbasin Plans submitted to the Council as a recommendation for adoption into the Council's 2000 Fish and Wildlife Program (program) by accomplishing the tasks set out below. These tasks were developed by the Council based upon its review of the independent scientists' report and public comments, and by applying the standards for adoption set forth in the Northwest Power Act and the Program. Additional Tasks 3-4 are not required for Council adoption, but if completed, may improve the plan overall. The Council does not know if funds will be allocated to accomplish tasks 3-4. Decisions about funding for those issues that do not affect the ability to adopt the plan depend upon the costs of addressing adoptability issues in all proposed subbasin plans. See the Attachment for additional detail and background on review findings and public comment.

Schedule

Work under this contract will begin on the date it has been signed by both parties and will conclude by November 22, 2004.

Task 1 Reorganize the Wind and the Little White Salmon information presented within in LCFRB Plan as "stand-alone" plans through a modification supplemental version so that they do not require extensive reference to the Volume I regional plan or other materials. The reorganized plans should depict:

Subtask a. how the subbasin assessments effort was organized, and around what analytical tools and data/information sets;

Subtask b. why the aquatic focal species were chosen;

Subtask c. what the key findings/limiting factors constraining biological potential of focal species are for each subbasin;

Subtask d. how objectives and strategies proposed for the subbasin link to those key subbasin findings/limiting factors,

Subtask e. if a broader ecological context informed the choices made for developing objectives and strategies for the particular individual subbasin, an explanation of why and how; and

Subtask f. finally, how and what monitoring and evaluation activities will be relied upon to adaptively manage in the subbasin.

The staff does not want to prescribe how this work is completed. It could be with refinement of the documents provided, it may be that a new and additional section or synthesis is offered, or any number of other treatments.

Task 2 Incorporate Terrestrial/Wildlife and resident fish elements into the reorganized Wind and Little White Salmon subbasin plans to include:

- Regional assessment-type information from IBIS;
- As appropriate, the State of Washington assessment information for southeast Washington and Columbia Cascade subbasins ("Ashley/Stoval" reports)
- Assessment and management information from the "Subbasin Summaries" developed in 1999;

Draft

Draft

Draft

- Identification of the highly managed species, and references to relevant existing local/state/federal management plans or programs for those species (again, consider the “Subbasin Summaries” developed previously).
- A description of proposals would be developed and prioritized taking into account the assessment information identified above (or other assessment information that is secured) and the existing management plans if there is the expectation that funding for wildlife or resident fish protection, mitigation or enhancement activities will be proposed for these subbasins within the next few years.

The tasks below, while not required for adoption of this plan, are designed to improve its usefulness:

Task 3 Consideration and, as appropriate, incorporation of suggestions in the comments submitted by the United States Fish and Wildlife Service concerning bull trout and the Service’s Draft Bull Trout Recovery Plan for the Wind and Little White subbasins.

Task 4 Provide a more definite explanation of how Carson hatchery (large-scale Mitchell Act facility) is operated to assist in meeting subbasin and/or out-of-subbasin goals would benefit the plan. If the facility were operated (at least in part) to assist in meeting Wind subbasin goals or objectives, how that strategy is coordinated with other habitat strategies would be important information.

[Decisions about funding for those issues that do not affect the ability to adopt the plan depend upon the costs of addressing adoptability issues in all proposed subbasin plans. If these tasks are contracted for, the requirements for formatting the proposed modifications will be specified in the contract -- e.g., legislative mark-up format, errata sheet, etc.]