

Statement of Work Snake Hells Canyon Subbasin

Ecovista
Darin Saul
P.O. Box 862
Pullman, WA 99163
Contact Person: Darin Saul
Phone: (509) 332-2793

Objective

Make improvements to the Snake Hells Canyon Subbasin Plan submitted to the Council as a recommendation for adoption into the Council's 2000 Fish and Wildlife Program (program) by accomplishing the tasks set out below. These tasks were developed by the Council based upon its review of the independent scientists' report and public comments, and by applying the standards for adoption set forth in the Northwest Power Act and the Program. Additional Tasks 2-3 are not required for Council adoption, but if completed, may improve the plan overall. The Council does not know if funds will be allocated to accomplish tasks 2-3. Decisions about funding for those issues that do not affect the ability to adopt the plan depend upon the costs of addressing adoptability issues in all proposed subbasin plans. See the Attachment for additional detail and background on review findings and public comment.

Schedule

Work under this contract will begin on the date it has been signed by both parties and will conclude by November 22, 2004.

Contractor will work with the Planning/Technical team members to:

Task 1 Produce a short supplement to the existing management plan, not more than 20 pages in length that includes the following elements:

Subtask a. An explanation of the key factors limiting the biological potential of the selected focal species in the subbasin (referencing the existing assessment);

Subtask b. A prioritization of which limiting factors should be addressed first (if possible, and again referencing the existing assessment);

Subtask c. An identification of objectives and strategies, with an explanation demonstrating how particular strategies will address the limiting factors identified;

Subtask d. Either a prioritization of strategies (related to the priority limiting factors) or a description of a "prioritization framework," that is, the criteria/considerations and procedures designed to develop and prioritize proposed actions in future project selection processes consistent with the assessment and related strategies.

The tasks below, while not required for adoption of this plan, are designed to improve its usefulness:

Task 2 An improved discussion of the role of artificial production in the subbasin and how it integrates with and affects the natural production and habitat objectives and strategies.

Task 3 A more complete treatment of the mainstem reach areas, addressing local spawning, rearing and resting habitat in the mainstem itself.

[Decisions about funding for those issues that do not affect the ability to adopt the plan depend upon the costs of addressing adoptability issues in all proposed subbasin plans. If these tasks are contracted for, the requirements for formatting the proposed modifications will be specified in the contract -- e.g., legislative mark-up format, errata sheet, etc.]

BUDGET

Task 1 Produce a short supplement to the existing management plan, not more than 20 pages in length that includes the following elements:

a. Explanation of Key limiting factors	32 Hours @\$80 per hour	\$2,560
b. Prioritization of limiting factors	32 Hours @\$80 per hour	\$2,560
c. Identification of objectives and strategies	31 Hours @\$80 per hour	\$2,480
d. Prioritize strategies/prioritization framework	30 Hours @\$80 per hour	\$2,400

SUBTOTAL \$10,000

Task 2 Improve Artificial Production 40 Hours @\$80 per hour \$3,200

Task 3 More complete treatment of Mainstem reaches 20 Hours @\$80 per hour \$1,600

GRAND TOTAL \$14,800

Statement of Work
Snake Hells Canyon Subbasin
Contract Number:

Objective

Make improvements to the Snake Hells Canyon Subbasin Plan submitted to the Council as a recommendation for adoption into the Council's 2000 Fish and Wildlife Program (program) by accomplishing the tasks set out below. These tasks were developed by the Council based upon its review of the independent scientists' report and public comments, and by applying the standards for adoption set forth in the Northwest Power Act and the Program. Additional Tasks 2-3 are not required for Council adoption, but if completed, may improve the plan overall. The Council does not know if funds will be allocated to accomplish tasks 2-3. Decisions about funding for those issues that do not affect the ability to adopt the plan depend upon the costs of addressing adoptability issues in all proposed subbasin plans. See the Attachment for additional detail and background on review findings and public comment.

Schedule

Work under this contract will begin on the date it has been signed by both parties and will conclude by November 22, 2004.

Task 1 Produce a short supplement to the existing management plan, not more than 20 pages in length that includes the following elements:

Subtask a. An explanation of the key factors limiting the biological potential of the selected focal species in the subbasin (referencing the existing assessment);

Subtask b. A prioritization of which limiting factors should be addressed first (if possible, and again referencing the existing assessment);

Subtask c. An identification of objectives and strategies, with an explanation demonstrating how particular strategies will address the limiting factors identified;

Subtask d. Either a prioritization of strategies (related to the priority limiting factors) or a description of a "prioritization framework," that is, the criteria/considerations and procedures designed to develop and prioritize proposed actions in future project selection processes consistent with the assessment and related strategies.

The tasks below, while not required for adoption of this plan, are designed to improve its usefulness:

Task 2 An improved discussion of the role of artificial production in the subbasin and how it integrates with and affects the natural production and habitat objectives and strategies.

Task 3 A more complete treatment of the mainstem reach areas, addressing local spawning, rearing and resting habitat in the mainstem itself.

[Decisions about funding for those issues that do not affect the ability to adopt the plan depend upon the costs of addressing adoptability issues in all proposed subbasin plans. If these tasks are contracted for, the requirements for formatting the proposed modifications will be specified in the contract -- e.g., legislative mark-up format, errata sheet, etc.]